Oscillations bias rule-based action in a laminar model of PFC

Jason Sherfey <sherfey@bu.edu>, Salva Ardid, Joachim Hass, Michelle McCarthy, Nancy Kopell Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Boston University

Introduction

- Rules are context-specific behavioral guides flexibly coded in Prefrontal Cortex (PFC) to assist action selection based on a set of condition-response mappings.
- Rule-coding cell assemblies exhibit beta-frequency oscillations [1].
- How network oscillations contribute to rule-based action is unknown.
- We adapted an experimentally-constrained biophysical PFC model [2] to investigate how network rhythms may contribute to contextdependent pathway biases serving rule-based action.

Rhythms and resonance in network oscillators Minimal Hodgkin-Huxley E/I Network **Def:** <u>Natural frequency</u> (f_N) FR resonant frequency (f_R) _N = network freq. given const.-rate async. input. f_{R} = rhythmic input freq. producing maximal output. <u>Single cell</u> Poisson input (Na,K) AMPA (Na,K) $\lambda(t) = E = GABA$ **Poisson input** λ(t) √√√ → e (f_{in}, 0-100Hz) rai s) mm f_{in} (Hz) time (ms) $f_{net} = 1/T_{net}$ $f_{N} = f_{net} | \lambda = r_{in}$ 100 150 100 150 50 100 1 spectral frequency (Hz) 50 100 150 spectral frequency (Hz) spectral frequency (Hz) Natural network oscillation emerges

under strong feedback inhibition.

10 au_{GABA} (ms)





PFC L5 PY/FS Network

PY: two-compartment pyramidal cell (NaF, KDR, NaP, Ks, Ca, KCa) FS: fast spiking interneuron (NaF, KDR)



All the conclusions for the HH E/I network hold for the PFC network.

Intrinsic membrane currents contribute to resonant properties only by effects on baseline levels of excitation. Together, they reduce the natural and resonant frequencies of the PFC network to high beta / low gamma frequencies.









Natural and resonant frequencies can differ, and they depend on ¹⁵ duration of inhibition.









network of
10
0 10 20





Rhythm-mediated biased competition Rhythms can select context-dependent $S \rightarrow R$ mappings

Microcircuit and cognitive operations Parallel pathways Rule-independe WM Reservoi Pathway Pathway 2 $f_{in} = f_R^{FS} (= \beta_2)$ Low sync $\lambda_1(t)$ $\lambda_2(t)$ <u>Inputs</u>: (e.g., from L3 PFC) L5 PFC Target Network <u>Outputs</u>: (e.g., to Striatum) Rule-dependent S→R mapping More sync. inputs are **Synchrony-mediated bias** $\lambda_1(t)$ dend $\leftarrow \Lambda_2(t)$ (f_{in}, const. sync δ_{in,1}) (f., variable svnc δ.,



High spike sync. provides competitive advantage for high freq. inputs only; low sync. is advantageous for low freqs.

 Natural rhythm: PY/FS networks with strong feedback inhibition and feedforward excitation are variable-frequency oscillators controlled by input strength with input

There are multiple notions of network resonance; in all cases, resonant properties depend on the statistics (firing rate and synchrony) of source network spiking, in addition to target network properties (inhibition duration and baseline excitation). Thus, <u>a source</u> network can flexibly tune the resonant properties of its target network.

Network resonance enables rhythm-mediated biased competition to select pathways